

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/519,246	03/06/2000	Stuart K. Williams	9896.143	8260
75	590 07/13/2004	EXAMINER		
FREDRIKSO	N & BYRON	BARRETT, THOMAS C		
200 SOUTH 6TH STREET SUITE 4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55402-1425	3738	,	

**DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Α	Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		C	09/519,246		WILLIAMS ET AL.	CC		
		E	xaminer		Art Unit			
		Т	homas C. B	arrett	3738			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appear	rs on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess		
THE - Extra after - If th - If N - Fail	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisior r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum of ure to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.136(a) umunication. (30) days, a reply with statutory period will a ly will, by statute, cau	a). In no event, thin the statutory apply and will ex use the applicat	however, may a reply be tim r minimum of thirty (30) day: pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on 14 April	1 2004.					
• —	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This ac		final.	,			
3)								
Disposi	tion of Claims							
5)	<u></u>							
Applica	tion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachme	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO_0/\Q\	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review or rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of er No(s)/Mail Date		5) 6)	Notice of Informal P	ratent Application (PTO-1	52)		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION

The request filed on June 4, 2004 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/519,246 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 14, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. While the 102(b) rejection has been rendered moot by the combining of the dependent claims 5 and 15 into claims 1 and 11 respectively, the 103 rejection remains. Furthermore, the addition of the phrase "to prevent endoleaking" is functional language. The Applicant argues that the difference between endovascular and vascular grafts is well established throughout the specification, yet as cited in the prior rejection, the Applicant admits on page 1, lines 12-15 that endovascular grafts "can be broadly defined as vascular grafts that are positioned within existing veins and arteries."

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/519,246

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 1, 3, 6-7, 10-11, 13, 16-17 and 21 remain rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guire (4,979,959) in view of Marin et al.

(5,443,477). Guire discloses a vascular graft (col. 1, lines 31-32) with a thrombogenic agent such as collagen covalently bonded to its surface by the activation of photoreactive groups (col. 2, lines 38-46), wherein the surface can be ePTFE (Example 1) however Guire fails to disclose the vascular graft as part of an endovascular stent-graft. Marin et al. teaches an intraluminal stent that can be reliably and readily affixed to any graft material (col. 2, lines 9-19) thus making an endovascular graft, which permits fixation of the graft to an arterial wall without sewing (col. 1, lines 66-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of an intraluminal stent affixed to a graft material, as taught by Marin et al., to a vascular graft as per Guire, in order to permit fixation of the graft to an arterial wall without sewing.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-8295. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday-Friday between 9:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications.

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Thomas Barrett**